

January 18, 1990

LB 37, 50, 159, 259A, 409, 422, 465
503, 503A, 543, 662, 742, 953, 1220-1242
LR 8, 244, 245

not, the question is the advancement of the A bill. All those in favor vote aye...say aye. Opposed nay. It is advanced. Mr. Clerk, do you have anything for the good of the cause?

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. Mr. President, your Committee on Retirement Systems, whose Chairperson is Senator Haberman, to whom was referred LB 953, instructs me to report the same back to the Legislature with the recommendation it be advanced to General File. That is signed by Senator Haberman. (See page 397 of the Journal.)

Mr. President, I have a series of hearing notices from Judiciary Committee, Appropriations Committee, Health and Human Services and Revenue, all signed by the respective chairs.

Mr. President, Senator Kristensen has amendments to LB 159 to be printed. Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they have carefully examined and reviewed LB 37 and recommend that same be placed on Select File; LB 742, LB 662, LR 8CA, LB 50, LB 543, LB 422, LB 409, LB 503, LB 503A, and LB 465 all to Select File, some of which have Enrollment and Review amendments attached. (See pages 398-408 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, new bills. First of all, Mr. President, two constitutional amendments, LR 244, offered by Senator Schmit. And LR 245 offered by Senator Hefner. (Read brief summary of resolutions. See pages 408-11 of the Journal.)

Mr. President, new bills. (Read LBs 1220-1242 by title for the first time. See pages 411-17 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, reminder, Reference Committee will meet at three-thirty today in Room 2102, Reference Committee at three-thirty in 2102. A final reminder, Mr. President. Chairmen's meeting tomorrow morning at nine...I'm sorry, at eight-fifteen in Room 2102, Chairmen's meeting, eight-fifteen, in 2102. That's called by the Speaker. That is all that I have, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: I understand that we have 434 new bills introduced this year. This is the last day, of course. So you might be interested in that. Senator Baack, you're close to your microphone, would you like to adjourn us until nine o'clock tomorrow morning, please.

January 19, 1990

LB 272A, 1117, 1135, 1172-1242
LR 242-245

PRESIDENT NICHOL PRESIDING

PRESIDENT: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber. We are happy to have with us this morning as our Chaplain of the day, Mr. Gerry Harris, who is the Executive Secretary of the Gideons, and lives in Lincoln. Would you please rise for the invocation.

MR. GERRY HARRIS: (Prayer offered.)

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Mr. Harris. We appreciate your coming and giving us the benediction, not the benediction, the invocation this morning. Roll call, please.

CLERK: I have a quorum present, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Do you have any messages, reports, or announcements?

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a Reference Report referring LBs 1172-1242, and LRs 242-245, signed by Senator Labedz, as Chair of the Reference Committee. Senator Coordsen gives notice of hearing for the Business and Labor Committee for February 5 and January 29. (Re: LB 1135, LB 1117.)

I have a report of registered lobbyists for the week of January 18, and, Mr. President, an Attorney General's Opinion addressed to Senator Landis regarding LB 272A. (See pages 421-24 of the Legislative Journal.) That is all that I have, Mr. President,

PRESIDENT: We will move on, Senator Lamb, are you in position to handle that confirmation report. Okay.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, and members, I would offer to the body the confirmation report for Mr. Myers.

CLERK: Senator, yeah, Lawrence Myers, the Nebraska Power Review Board.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. Myers appeared before the Natural Resources Committee about two days ago and he is a reappointment. He was appointed, I believe, late last year and there was no opposition to his reappointment, and the committee voted unanimously to recommend that Mr. Myers be appointed to

January 24, 1990

LB 369, 855, 1124, 1161, 1213, 1231

reports LB 855 to General File. (See page 491 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have hearing notice from Natural Resources signed by Senator Schmit as Chair. (LB 1213 and LB 1231. See page 491 of the Legislative Journal.)

I have an Attorney General's Opinion addressed to Senator Kristensen.

I have amendments to be printed, Mr. President, by Senator Lamb to LB 369, and, Mr. President, the last, I have requests from Senator Smith to add her name to LB 1124 and Senator Hefner to LB 1161 as co-introducers. That's all that I have, Mr. President. (See pages 492-93 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is to adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. All those in favor say aye. Those opposed. We are adjourned until tomorrow morning.

Proofed by:


LaVera Benischek

is a cost it will most likely be for an employee to simply to administer the guidelines to make certain that the castle conforms to Historical Society guidelines. So with that, I would again just urge that the bill be advanced. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question before the house is the advancement of LB 164. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance LB 164.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 164 is advanced. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Senator Schmit would move to suspend Rule 3, Section 13 with respect to hearing notice provision so as to permit cancellation of public hearing on LB 1231.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. Speaker, and members, I apologize to the body that I must request this cancellation of hearing notice. Do you want me to give the reason now, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Yes.

SENATOR SCHMIT: LB 1231 was scheduled by myself for hearing on Wednesday, this coming Wednesday. Senator Baack and myself have co-sponsored the bill with Senator Sandy Scofield. Senator Scofield is going to be out of town that day and had requested that the hearing be held on February 16 and has made arrangements for some individuals to come and testify at that time. It was my mistake and I did not check back with her. In order for the public to be accommodated and for Senator Scofield to be here, we must reschedule the bill, and so, therefore, we would have to suspend the rules and I ask you to do so at this time.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion? Senator Scofield, please.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President. I would just rise to support this suspension motion and thank Senator Schmit for offering it. We do have a company particularly from Colorado

that is building a waste facility in Senator Baack's district that was interested and was under the impression that the hearing was going to be the 16th, and so to accommodate them, I could probably change my schedule, but I think it is more important to accommodate them at this point, and give them a fair opportunity to comment on this bill. So I would certainly encourage your support on the suspension motion. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any other discussion? Anything further, Senator Schmit?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Nothing further, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is, shall the rules be suspended to permit consideration of a hearing cancellation and reset new hearing outside the seven day deadline? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the suspension of the notice of hearing rule.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion prevails. Mr. Clerk, proceed to General File, LB 708.

CLERK: Mr. President, 708 was a bill introduced by Senator Chambers. (Read title.) The bill has been discussed, Mr. President, on General File. Amendments to the bill by Senator Chambers and Senator McFarland have been adopted. Senator, do you want to offer your amendment? Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend the bill. (See page 663 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Legislature, I wish Senator McFarland were here. He and I have been talking back and forth about this bill ever since it has come before us and he has problems with the current system and he has questions about the impact of the bill. The problem that he says he sees with the current system, and if he is listening, he can confirm the correctness with how I am representing his position, that the rule that my bill would address is unfair, that it does improperly deny aid to these students who should receive it. The university feels that the rule is unfair and the university does not like the rule, but there are questions about what the impact of this bill would be. There has been a suggestion that